

**U. S.
ABOLISHED
BY
ORDER
OF
THE
UN!**

—————●—————
Is that to be our Epitaph?
—————●—————

By **MYRON C. FAGAN**
—————●—————

No. 73

OUR OWN TRAITORS WRITING THE EPITAPH

A voice from the grave confirms that charge. On November 24 (1959), the very day we placed our last "News-Bulletin" (No. 72) in the mails, a sensational story broke out on all the front pages throughout the nation. The flaring headline of that story was:

"TAFT ANALYSIS OF '52 DEFEAT BARED . . . BLAMED N. Y. FINANCIERS AND PROPAGANDA BLITZ"

The story itself was a posthumous publication of a 2200-word confidential "analysis" written by the late Senator Robert A. Taft immediately following the 1952 Convention (*suppressed all the years since*) in which he blamed a frame-up by New York "financial interests" and a "fixed" propaganda "blitz" by Press, Radio and TV for his loss of the Republican presidential nomination in 1952.

That "analysis" tells the grim story of what happened at that 1952 Convention. Unfortunately, it still does not tell *everything*. For one thing, it does not *name* the "financial interests," nor does it *name* those who masterminded the Press, Radio and TV "blitz". And that's the grimmest part of the whole story — but I will defer the telling of it for the later pages in which I will deal with those particular features in the Taft "analysis". But I will say this much right now: had Taft released his "analysis" *at that time* (August 1952), *together with the names of those who master-minded the plot*, it would have changed our entire political complexion — it would have forced a Congressional investigation that would have nullified the outcome of that Convention — it would have driven Eisenhower out of public life — *it would have unmasked the entire Internationalist-Communist Great Conspiracy!*

Before going further, however, I wish to set one thing straight: nothing in the above, or in any later comment, is to be construed as a reflection on the honesty of the late Senator Taft. I knew him throughout his political career, and I can say without the slightest reservation that no man in public life had, or has, a greater sense of honor and moral integrity. He made mistakes — *haven't we all?* — and his failure to reveal all the facts about that 1952 Convention was the greatest mistake of his entire career, but it was an honest mistake. Later I will reveal how and why he permitted himself to be led into that tragic mistake.

And now I wish to stress one more point: *to me*, the seemingly fortuitous simultaneous release of the Taft "analysis" and our (No.

72) News-Bulletin is more than merely fortuitous — I like to believe that it was ordained to happen that way. Because the Taft “analysis” confirms a certain vital statement I made in that “News-Bulletin” — the statement confirms the Taft “analysis” — and, *together*, they fully confirm my charge that men we have placed in the highest offices in our land are deliberately collaborating with the “United Nations” plot (*and therefore with the Internationalist-Communist Conspiracy*) to nullify our Constitution and to abolish the United States as a sovereign nation. That statement is on page 19, and reads as follows:

“What happened at that (1952) Convention in Chicago should forever have disillusioned all of the American people about the ‘great’ Ike. In our August (1952) issue I rendered a detailed report of everything that happened before and during the Convention. I pulled no punches. I reported how the Convention was taken over by a small gang of American renegades, who were there, openly and brazenly, fronting for the Masterminds of the Internationalist-Communist Conspiracy — and, to leave nothing to imagination, I NAMED those renegades . . . I described with minute detail the skulduggery, the chicaneries, the thieveries, the blackmail, that enabled them to achieve the take-over of the Convention — and of the Party . . . I revealed the ‘deals’ which enabled them to steal the nomination for their phony war hero. I particularly stressed the ‘deal’ with Earl Warren, warning that the first official act of that political charlatan would be his infamous (1954) ‘Desegregation Decision’ — and that it would be followed by other ‘Decisions’ that would shield and render aid and comfort to the Communist Conspiracy”

In short, in that report I bluntly warned that an Eisenhower in the White House would zealously support and promote all “United Nations” activities and objectives — *the prime objective being the abolition of the U. S. as a sovereign nation . . .* But, unfortunately — and TRAGICALLY — neither the American people, *nor the Senators who approved Eisenhower’s appointment of Warren*, gave heed to those warnings . . . Now — *seven years later* — the Taft “analysis” reveals why they didn’t believe me.

Now, please bear this in mind: I had no personal axe to grind with that (August 1952) report. I had no personal grievance against Eisenhower. Nor had I gone to Chicago to plug any particular favorite. I wrote that report with just one objective: to alert the American people — to forewarn them of the betrayals that were bound to come with Eisenhower in the White House. But, to my vast astonishment, that report made me a target for the most violent criticism *and vituperation* I had ever encountered. Had I been alone

in the report of the shameful and disgraceful happenings at that Convention I could have understood the reactions of my critics, but every nationally known reporter on that scene, such as Pegler, Sokolsky, Bob Considine, etc., told the same story. True, *their* reports were considerably watered down (*some were completely suppressed*) — none scored the rotten “deals as I did — none went as far as my dire predictions of the evils to come if Eisenhower would be elected (*their Editors didn't let them*), but none failed to tie him in with every vicious act that was perpetrated at that Convention.

Now, *why* did the people, as a whole, refuse to believe those charges? The answer is found in the following paragraph in Taft's analysis: “*The truth is that we were up against a tremendous publicity blitz led by four-fifths of the newspapers of the country and all the magazines.*”

And that only *partly* tells the story. The full truth is that the *honest* reporters who covered that Chicago Convention tried to tell the true story of what was happening there — and their first reports, *even as watered down as they were*, clearly revealed that Eisenhower was a bought-and-paid-for stooge of the Masterminds of the Internationalist-Communist Conspiracy — but the truth never got beyond those first reports. The syndicated columnists were promptly muzzled, and the few courageous journalists who refused to be silenced were swamped by a gigantic Press, Radio and TV barrage of “God-Man” Ike propaganda that blanketed the nation. So overpowering was that propaganda that among the most violent critics of my (August 1952) report I found men and women whom I knew to be staunchly loyal Americans — men and women who had stood shoulder to shoulder with me in our fight to smash the Red plot in Hollywood, who stood with me against the “United World Federalists”, against the “Genocide” and other UN plots. The patriotism and loyalties of those men and women were not even remotely dubious, but even *they* had been so “brainwashed” by the Eisenhower propaganda, were so completely hypnotized by the mere mention of the name, that the slightest suggestion that the man was anything less than the God he had been cracked up to be threw them into a blind rage — *and I do mean blind!* Utterly disregarding the *prima facie* and *documentary* evidence of his charlatany in Chicago, they pointed to his “fame” as a “military genius”, to his “phenominal capabilities” as an “organizer”, as an infallible “administrator” — and capped all those (propaganda) myths with his “reputation” for “personal integrity” . . . and they demanded that I retract every “insinuation” I had uttered against that most perfect of all men — or else! The “or else” was a threat to withdraw from the CEG and never again co-operate with our fight for the salvation of our country.

Naturally, I made no retractions — that would have been a retreat from the truth — and VICTORY for the Enemy! Instead, I wrote *"The Eisenhower Myth"*, in which I submitted *documentaries* and *prima facie* evidence that proved beyond the shadow of a doubt not only that Eisenhower is, and always has been, a sham and a fraud and a delusion as a soldier and as a man, but that just as Quisling was Hitler's chosen tool to open the gates of Norway to his hordes of Nazis, so is Eisenhower the chosen tool of the Internationalists to open the gates of America to their One-World (UN) Conspiracy . . . but even that brought forth angry accusations that I was "smearing" the most wonderful man in the world. Obviously, those accusers didn't know that *truth* is not "smear" — and they overlooked the simple fact that if what I said was not truth that "*most wonderful man*" could easily have silenced me — *and disproved all my charges* — by hauling me into a Court of Law.

Anyway, after seven long years a voice from the grave vindicates me — so now let's go back to the Taft analysis.

Quoting from that analysis, *as published by the press*, Taft stated that he ". . . came to Chicago with 604 pledged delegates — enough to win the nomination on the first ballot, but many of them broke away before and during the Convention because of pressure and persuasion."

Taft did not say what those pressures and persuasions were — nor did he identify the "persuaders". *But I did in my (August 1952) report!* So did Pegler and the Chicago Tribune — *in their first stories!* I gave names and deeds! I told how the despicable Tom Dewey *blackmailed* the pledged New York state delegates to switch from Taft to Eisenhower. I named Winthrop Aldrich, head of the Rockefeller Chase National Bank, as the paymaster for the Taft-described "*financial interests*" — and I reported that he (Aldrich) arrived in Chicago with two suitcases full of \$1,000 bills for cash pay-offs. I cited one incident in the offices of Guy Gabrielson, head of the National Republican Committee, when two Illinois delegates walked in, each displaying fifteen \$1,000 bills as the price paid them to switch from Taft to Eisenhower. That (\$15,000) was the going price — paid to SCORES of Taft-pledged delegates at that Convention of infamy! I reported how other delegates were "persuaded" by wires and long-distance calls from their home-town bankers, "hinting" about "*calling in loans*" — and "foreclosing mortgages" — *unless . . .* And, as I previously stated, I revealed in all details the "deals" that were made with Warren and Stassen that threw all the California and Minnesota (Taft-pledged) delegates to Eisenhower.

Again quoting from his "analysis", Taft stated ". . . *the battle was*

lost before the Convention started because of underlying causes which had operated for eight months before the delegates met in Chicago . . . influential financial leaders had chosen Eisenhower for their candidate (for obvious reasons) at least a year earlier . . .” The “obvious reasons” were that those “influential financial leaders” (*Masterminds of the Great Conspiracy*) knew that Taft would not play their game !!!

In short, the “Taft analysis” clearly reveals that even the notorious Boss Tweed never rigged any of his New York elections as viciously, as openly, as brazenly, as the “Masterminds” rigged that infamous Republican Convention in 1952.

Now, inasmuch as Taft knew all that, he also knew that if he had revealed that whole ugly story to all of the American people it would automatically have torpedoed Ike out of that nomination — it would have blasted Tom Dewey and all the other tools of the Masterminds out of public life, *if not out of the country* — it would have landed him (Taft) in the White House — *it would have been the salvation of our nation!* Yet he remained silent. Why? *That*, in the face of the final release of his 1952 memoirs, *would now seem to be the big question.*

Well, one might answer it by pointing out that with 80 percent of all the newspapers and *all* the magazines and Radio-TV closed to him he just couldn't get his story to the people. *But that is not the answer!* He always had one sure-fire way to do it — *the one sure-fire way that is still available to us* — and that is: *a Congressional investigation!* That's one thing (a Congressional investigation) that no newspaper, no magazine, no Radio or TV network, would dare to “blackout” or distort.

Unquestionably, Taft had enough influence and power to force that kind of an investigation. In fact, the late Col. Robert R. McCormick eagerly offered to have his newspapers (Chicago Tribune and N. Y. Daily News) launch the demand for it — several of his (Taft's) Congressional colleagues pleaded with him to do it. But, after some hesitation, he refused. Why? I will now answer that question.

Two of Bob Taft's closest and most influential advisors throughout the later years of his political career were Isidore (*he prefers to be known as I. Jack*) Martin and one Rabbi Hillel Silver. When Taft was on the verge of going through with McCormick's urgings for a Congressional investigation, those two men pointed out to him that in revealing the identities of the “*influential financial leaders*” he would have to name Kuhn-Loeb, Lehman Brothers, Goldman-Sachs,

Bernard Baruch, Herbert Lehman, the Warburgs, Sidney Weinberg, etc., etc. *All Jews!* They further stressed that in revealing the masterminds behind the Press, Magazine and Radio-TV blitz he'd have to name Eugene Meyer, Arthur Sulzberger, Pulitzer, William A. Paley, Sarnoff, Barney Balaban, and last, *but by no means least*, the "Anti-Defamation League" — *all Jews!* Automatically, warned Silver and Martin, that would put the dread (*especially to a politician*) brand of "Anti-Semitic" on Taft.

There was still another reason, perhaps the most potent one of all, for Taft's silence: virtually from the day he was born, the Republican Party was the great love of Taft's heart. He was not just a dedicated Party man — *he was the Party!* Without the slightest reflection on his loyalty to country, Bob Taft was first Mr. Republican, then Mr. American. He realized that a full exposition of what happened at that Convention would badly damage the Party — *if not utterly destroy it*. So he remained silent — a martyr for the preservation of his beloved Party.

Undoubtedly, Taft meant well. He hoped — *and believed to his dying day* — that he would be able to cleanse the Party of the "Liberals" and traitors, and restore it to its one-time heights of respectability and traditional Americanism. And, believe it or not, Taft really expected Eisenhower to help him do the job. That was the "deal" they made at that famous Morningside Heights breakfast meeting. Ike piously promised Taft that he'd "houseclean" the government *and the Party* if Taft would support and help him win the election — bear in mind, even today it is conceded that without Taft's support Eisenhower would have been defeated. In view of all that had happened at the Convention, and *knowing* that Eisenhower was (and is) a witting tool of the so-called "financial interests" who corrupt the Party, it seems incredible that Taft could have been so naive as to have faith in an Eisenhower promise. But he was just that naive — and thus missed a great opportunity to smash the entire Conspiracy. By just that narrow a margin did Bob Taft fall short of George Washington and Abraham Lincoln immortality.

And now let's go on to the deeds of treason that are intended to enable the UN to abolish the U. S. — *unless we stop them!*

IKE IN "WORLD COURT" PLOT

On November 27, 1959, the Press front-paged a story, released by UPI, that "*President Eisenhower will ask Congress to strengthen the*

World Court by repealing the Connally Amendment of 1946 and thus give up this country's power to veto consideration of any case involving the United States."

His alibi for it (the repeal) was that . . . "*it would place the United States in a better position to urge other countries to agree to wider participation of the World Court.*"

Amazingly, that story went completely unnoticed by both Houses of Congress — and, for that matter, by the vast majority of the people. Yet, repeal of the Connally Amendment would be an act of treason far beyond Benedict Arnold's attempted "sale" of West Point to the British. It would automatically nullify our Constitution — *and completely surrender the sovereignty of the United States to the "United Nations"!*

Going beyond that, the repeal of the Connally Amendment would remove the one insuperable roadblock to the fulfillment of the Internationalist-Communist Conspiracy to enslave the whole world in their so-called One-World Government . . . Let me clarify that statement:

The United States is the leader of what remains of the Free World in the fight to foil that Great Conspiracy. It is a fast-weakening leader — made so by the very men into whose hands (beginning with Woodrow Wilson) we stupidly keep entrusting the safety and the destiny of our nation — but as long as we will continue to be a Free nation, *as long as our Constitution will continue to be our Law of the Land and the Guardian of our nation*, just so long will the United States continue to be the one insuperable obstacle to that One-World Conspiracy.

The Masterminds of the Conspiracy know that. They know that to achieve their plot the *free* United States will have to be completely abolished. But they also know that that can't be accomplished by violence or force of arms. Indeed, they know that the first *obvious* act of violence toward that objective would be the one thing that would smash their entire Conspiracy — it would startle *and awaken* the people — it would wipe the brainwash out of their minds — it would transform the mildest and the meekest of us into raging avengers who wouldn't stop until all the conspirators and traitors would be exterminated like so many rats.

In short, they know that they can achieve their objective only through craft and guile, through delusion and deceit, *through treason within!* And that is the course they have been pursuing ever since Jacob Schiff and the Warburgs arrived in America to master-

mind the Conspiracy. I won't attempt to review all of their techniques in this issue — indeed, I'd need a many-thousand page volume for such a review. Besides, in the past several years, various of our "News-Bulletins" have thoroughly unmasked, *with documentary evidence*, each and every one of those techniques. *This* issue I wish to devote to just one feature: the frightening story and the sinister plot that lie behind Eisenhower's determination to get the Connally Amendment repealed — and his constant efforts to "*strengthen the United Nations.*"

But, here, I again wish to condition the minds of those who will once again feel outraged by my "irreverant" charges against Eisenhower — on the ground that as the elected President of the United States he is as sacred as the office of the Presidency.

To me, it is utterly incredible that there are American men and women, loyal and otherwise intelligent, who — *just because Eisenhower is the President of the United States and sworn to defend our Constitution* — refuse to believe, *despite all documentary evidence*, that he would lend himself to a plot to destroy that Constitution, to destroy the sovereignty of the United States, to transform our nation into an enslaved unit of an Internationalist-Communist One-World Government. I will repeat what I've said before: such people confuse the man with the office — they invest him with the sacredness of the office, and, by that token, insist he can do no wrong. Well, I won't use up space to debate that kind of a conception of Eisenhower — I will merely remind that many of those same people have proclaimed (and still do) that Franklin D. Roosevelt was a traitor not only at Yalta but throughout his years in that sacred office.

Before I leave this theme I have one more observation for the (deluded or otherwise) worshippers of Ike, the God man: right now Ike is riding a new crest of popularity. The Press, Radio and TV are ecstatically pouring millions of words into our ears about his triumphant PEACE tour of Asia, Africa and Europe — of the joyous receptions accorded to the "Military Genius" turned "Apostle of Peace". Well, exactly forty years ago there was another American God-man who posed as an "Apostle of Peace". Woodrow Wilson was his name. In the weeks following World War One, he too, set forth on a well-heralded pilgrimage in the name of PEACE. He traveled to all the great cities in Europe. And wherever he went, just as with Ike today, the multitudes greeted him with paeans of joy. And why not? — he, too, came to them with promises of all the goodies of life (*to be paid for by American dollars*) and PEACE everlasting through his "League of Nations". And how our Press (*controlled even then*) rhapsodized about his great "humanitarian-

ism” and selfless dedication to PEACE! — until France’s Clemenceu pierced through his hypocrisy, and our own Senator Henry Cabot Lodge (*not the phony of today*) unmasked him as a stooge of the Masterminds — and revealed his “dedication to Peace” as bait to entrap us in the Internationalists’ One-World plot.

Also apropos of the present “cannonization” of “God-man” Ike, I’d like to remind about “God-man” Franklin D. Roosevelt’s “missions” to Teheran and Yalta. In those days he, too, was acclaimed as an “Apostle of Peace” by a wildly rhapsodizing Press. They enthusiastically told us all about the wonderful plans for PEACE discussed at the *general* meetings of the “diplomats” assembled there — but they did NOT tell us what was discussed in the Roosevelt-Hiss and Stalin-Molotov *private* (secret) meetings — they did NOT tell us that those “missions” were to deliver to Moscow the Balkans and Eastern Europe and China — they did NOT tell us of the betrayals that were to keep the whole world in frightening turmoil for generations to come!

Just so have we been told of all that happened at the *general* meetings in India and Pakistan, in Turkey and Paris — we’ve been told of the raptures of the peoples — but we’ve NOT been told (*and they’ve stressed that we never will be told*) what was discussed — *and what promises have been made* — in Ike’s “private” meetings with Nehru, with DeGaulle, with all the other heads of States . . . *just as we have never been told what was discussed — and what promises were made — at those Camp David meetings with Krushchev !!!*

Thus, summing it all up, we KNOW that all of the “missions” and pilgrimages and “Summit Meetings” of all of our “God-men” have brought us nothing but betrayals and disasters and grief. In view of that undeniable fact, I suggest to all those who refuse to consider anything but the “God-man Ike” propaganda that . . . *nothing spoken or written is of any value if the objective is merely to be believed, not to be criticized and thus learn the truth.*

Now let’s go on to the unimpeachable evidence that cries aloud for the impeachment of Dwight D. Eisenhower.

UN IS CRUX OF GREAT CONSPIRACY

A brief review of the Machiavellion tricks the Masterminds employ to camouflage the operations of the United Nations plot will serve to completely reveal the frightening menace in the “World

Court" — and the stark treason in Eisenhower's demand for the repeal of the "Connally Amendment!"

When the Masterminds of the Great Conspiracy launched the UN to be the "housing" for their One-World Government they knew that the success of their plot depended upon their ability to keep the American people from becoming aware of it. Therefore, at the very outset they established the UN as a "holy of holies" — as sacred as Heaven itself. It was to stay aloof from all overt acts — that is, *obviously* overt — to further the One-World objective of the Conspiracy. Of course, there would be "dirty work" to be done — such as conditioning the minds of the peoples in Eastern Europe (*especially the Balkans*) to accept Communism . . . such as conditioning the minds of the American people to abandon so-called "Isolationism" in favor of "universal brotherhood" . . . such as conditioning our Youth to abhor "mawkish patriotism" and the "sinful pride of nationality", etc., etc. But none of that was to be done by the UN itself — all of it was to be done by special UN agencies, such as UNRRA, UNESCO, UNICEF, UNWRA, etc., etc.

Of course, there were bound to be elements of risk in the operations of such Agencies. Any one of them might make a slip that would unmask it. Well, the Masterminds took all precautions, *in advance*, to prevent such slips from boomeranging against the UN itself. How? Every UN Agency was placed under the direction of a prominent (renegade) American — or under the direct (protection) sponsorship of the U. S. State Department. Thus, if anything went wrong, the UN would be in the clear. To show how well that technique worked I will cite the case of UNRRA:

UNRRA was the first of the UN Agencies. Patterned on Herbert Hoover's famous Belgian Relief project, it was set up for the relief of the sick and starving peoples in all of the war-devastated parts of Europe.

Now, in the years immediately after the war the United States was the only country that could provide UNRRA with clothing, foods, medical supplies, etc. *All for free, of course!* Naturally, all shipments were stamped "Made in USA". Suddenly, but years later, it was discovered that after those shipments arrived at their destinations that legend (Made in USA) was removed and replaced with "Made in USSR" . . . at the same time it also was discovered that, *by a strange coincidence*, all of the UNRRA distributors and administrators were Russian officials . . . and then it was further discovered that, *by another very strange coincidence*, all of UNRRA's relief activities had been limited to those Balkan countries which Franklin Roosevelt had promised (at Yalta) to deliver to Joe Stalin.

All those "discoveries" created a considerable commotion in Washington. But the UN promptly disavowed all responsibility for all of the UNRRA activities. They explained that the entire relief project had been turned over to Ex-Senator Herbert Lehman — and "proved" that because of their great faith in that distinguished *American* gentleman they never checked into his operations, or questioned his choice of distributors . . . And that "gentleman" readily established the complete "innocence" of the UN. He admitted that he had had sole control of the entire project — that he had appointed the Russian distributors — and that the change in the legends (*from "Made in USA" to "Made in USSR"*) had been with his knowledge and approval . . . And then he added the very astonishing (and brazen) explanation that he had done all that in the interests of PEACE; to wit: knowing how the Balkan peoples had always hated and distrusted the Russians, our great "humanitarian" had suddenly conceived the idea that if the Balkan peoples would "see" with their own eyes that it was Moscow that had come to their rescue with clothes, food, medicines, etc., and had even sent their own officials to do the distributing, it would wipe out all ancient hatreds and spread a feeling of "brotherly love" throughout that entire part of the world. When asked why he had confined all of UNRRA's "charities" to the states marked down at Yalta for Russian enslavement, our "humanitarian" became completely deaf.

Of course, the vast majority of the American people were never informed of that great act of "humanitarianism" (*with our income-tax money*) — the Press never revealed it. But even if we had been told, how could we have blamed the UN for a "mistake" made by one of our own great American "humanitarians"? Clever, eh, what?

In like manner, when it was "discovered" that all of the UNESCO activities were devoted to infiltrating our schools and poisoning the minds of our Youth, the UN "proved" its "innocence" by pointing to the fact that UNESCO was operating under the direct supervision of the "*United States National Commission for UNESCO*", authorized and set up by the U. S. State Department! . . . *How could we blame the UN for treason being committed by our own State Department?*

I could go on with many more similar citations, but I believe the above two are sufficient to establish my point that "*treason within*" is the Enemy's most effective weapon for our destruction. But here I wish to further stress that the (exposed) treason of UNRRA and UNESCO, and *all* the treason in *all* the UN-alphabetic Agencies combined and rolled into one, is minor compared to the treason contemplated in Eisenhower's demand for the repeal of the "Connally Amendment" — the following is your prima facie evidence.

“WORLD COURT” TO DESTROY CONSTITUTION

For a clear picture and the sinister significance of what's behind Eisenhower's proclamation for the repeal of the "Connally Amendment" we'll have to go back to the days in 1944 and 1945 when the Masterminds of the Great Conspiracy were organizing the "United Nations" scheme.

As previously established, the Masterminds knew that to achieve their One-World plot they'd have to obliterate our Constitution. Moreover, they knew it would have to be done *legally* — by an Act of Congress, no less. But they also knew that it could not be done openly — not even a Javits would dare to suggest such an act. No, it would have to be accomplished in such a way that nobody, not the American people, not even Congress (as a whole), would know it was being done — or how it was being done — *until it was "signed, sealed and delivered"!*

The gimmick that was to do that very amazing trick of legerdemain was a very simple one — it was to be another United Nations "Agency" to be known as "*The International Court of Justice.*" But this "Agency", unlike all the other UN-alphabetical "Agencies", was to be an integral feature of the UN Charter. Now, under our Constitution a "Treaty" becomes the Law — *a supreme Law* — of our Land.

Thus, when and if Congress would enact the Charter into a Treaty between the United States and the UN, it would automatically establish the UN's "Court of Justice" as the Law of our Land. Thus, ironically, the Masterminds would use the very Constitution they wish to destroy to accomplish its destruction. Machiavelli couldn't have thought of a neater — *and more diabolical* — trick!

However, as I have pointed out, the whole thing had to be carried off with such secrecy that neither the American people nor Congress would become aware of it until after the "Treaty" was passed and signed. In other words, the "Charter" would have to be signed without too much scrutiny — *in fact, with no scrutiny whatsoever!*

So for several months before that San Francisco Conference, our Press and Radio poured on a continuous stream of PEACE propaganda — their theme song was: "*only a United Nations Organization can prevent wars in the future*". And the people swallowed that bait with such great gusto that the Senators veritably trampled each

other to death in their rush to ratify the "Treaty" that made the United States a member of the United Nations. There was virtually no debate — later, many of the Senators admitted they hadn't even stopped to read the Charter. And that was an *unforgivable* dereliction by the men we sent to Washington to guard the safety of our nation. Because if they had just *read* the Charter they'd quickly have realized that the UN was set up to be a trap for the destruction of the United States.

Measured by a similar yardstick, the dereliction of our Press was far more reprehensible — *because it was deliberate!* It is their duty and obligation to the American people to keep us informed and alerted. They had access to the Charter — they knew the evil and sinister intent in it. But their masters, the Masterminds of the Conspiracy, decreed that the people must never be permitted to become aware of it — *and the Press obeyed!* . . . the Masterminds decreed that the people shall be "convinced" that the UN was a Heaven-inspired instrument for the preservation of the PEACE of the Universe — *the Press obeyed!* With great fanfare they published the opening sentence of the Preamble, which grandiloquently proclaimed that the UN was organized "to save succeeding generations from the scourge of war" . . . and the seventh paragraph of Article 2, which says: "Nothing contained in the present Charter shall authorize the United Nations to intervene in matters which are essentially within the domestic jurisdiction of any state or shall require the Members to submit to such settlement under the present Charter . . ."

Now what could be sweeter than that? *No more wars!!!* They (the UN) would preserve the PEACE of the world . . . they would punctiliously respect our national sovereignty . . . they would NOT intrude in our domestic affairs.

That's what our Press and Radio kept telling us over and over and over again. They kept repeating the above assurances in the Preamble — but they carefully "*forgot*" to mention its concluding words, which stated that the UN would ". . . employ (its) internal machinery for the promotion of the economic and social advancement of ALL peoples".

Those few seemingly innocent words, sugar-coated, and camouflaged with a lofty humanistic objective, reveal the ONE-WORLD goal of the Masterminds — *and how they hoped (still hope) to achieve it.*

Our Constitution guarantees that government shall stay out of the economic and social affairs of the people. It specifically expresses that the sole purpose of government is to secure and hold sacred for

the people their God-given rights to manage their own economic and social and all other personal affairs.

But the UN is directly opposed to such limitations of government. Contradicting the widely-publicized assurances that nothing in the Charter authorizes the UN to intervene in the domestic affairs of its member nations, their Article 55 states that UN will promote: “A - *Higher standards of living, full employment, and conditions of economic and social progress and development; . . . B - Solutions of international economic, social, health and related problems; and international cultural and educational cooperation*” . . . *etc., etc.*

Right there, in those two provisions, we have the answers to the setting-up of UNRRA, of UNESCO, of UNICEF, of “UNWHO” (World Health Organization) of “Mental Health” and all the other UN-alphabetical organizations. *What rights are there left to the people?*

Undoubtedly, there are many UN bemused, but otherwise loyal, American men and women who will retort that I am a rabble-rousing alarmist — *and worse*. They will point out that none of the UN-alphabetical Agencies is a “Law of the Land” — that the UN has no way to enforce them, *not even those that have the blessings of our State Department and/or the White House* — that those of us who wish to do so have the right to ignore their “dictates”.

All that is true — *as of the present moment* — thanks to the “Connally Amendment”. But in the following I will show that right in the Charter there is a provision that would give the UN *unlimited* power to enforce all the dictates of ALL their so-called Agencies. Only the “Connally Amendment” (*in the parlance of Mr. Eisenhower’s favorite game*) stymies that provision — *but now Mr. Eisenhower would remove that stymie to the UN One-World goal by repealing that Amendment !!!*

To clarify that, let’s go back to the concluding words in the Preamble of the Charter which state “. . . *the UN will employ (its) international machinery for the promotion of the economic and social advancement of ALL peoples.*”

What “international machinery”? Nowhere in the Charter is the UN provided with any so-called “*international machinery*”. To set up an “*international machinery*” they first would have to acquire international POWER to FORCE their “*economic and social advancements*” on the people — whether the people wanted their “*advancements*” or not . . . they’d require vast amounts of MONEY to finance all those “*advancements*”, so they’d have to acquire the

POWER to get that money — *not via appropriations by our Congress, but by a direct UN taxing system!* In short, to acquire the “international machinery” to put through their “advancements” they would have to acquire a *dictatorial* power over the American people *such as our Constitution rigidly forbids to our own government.*

Once the UN would acquire that kind of power our Constitution would be *dead* — and the United States, *by order of the UN*, would be abolished as a free and sovereign nation!

And the only barrier to that kind of power for the UN is the “Connally Amendment” — *which Mr. Eisenhower now wants Congress to repeal!!!*

Now, what is the provision in the Charter that would give the UN that kind of *absolute* power? It is the “International Court of Justice”, as provided in Chapter XIV. To all intents and purposes, just as the U. S. Supreme Court is the highest Court in our land, so is the “International Court of Justice” the Highest Court of the contemplated One-World Government — *only with far greater (unlimited) power!* It is composed of fifteen Judges, *only one of which can be an American.* All Communist nations, even those that are members of the UN, are, *by secret agreement*, exempt from the jurisdiction of this Court — *but they have judges on it!* Nine judges constitute a quorum, and a majority of the quorum is sufficient for a Decision — *from which there is no appeal!* To further leave nothing to imagination, all the judges are appointed by the UN General Assembly and by the UN Security Council — *no individual nation has a voice in the appointment!*

Now let’s go back and see what “miracle” saved us from surrendering our freedom and our *sovereignty* to that “International Court of Justice”?

●

CONNALLY AMENDMENT IS THE “MIRACLE”

●

When our Senate ratified the UN Charter (*without reading it*) the United States automatically became a party to the UN Statute that set up the International Court of Justice — but by the very language of that Statute we were not obligated to accept the Jurisdiction of that Court until we (our Senate) formally declared acceptance of it.

Bear in mind that such a formal declaration would virtually be a “Treaty” — and thus the jurisdiction of the World Court would become a “Law of the Land”.

The Masterminds assumed, (*at least they hoped*) that that formal declaration would come automatically. The entire Charter had been "railroaded" with such great ease, they assumed that nobody would stop to scrutinize *any* particular Statute. Anyway, they instructed their (Senator) stooges to proceed with the steps that would seal and sign and deliver our nation to the *compulsory* jurisdiction of their World Court.

The Senate ratified the UN Charter (*but not the World Court Statute*) in July 1945. So, in November 1945 Senator Wayne Morse introduced a Resolution whereby the Senate would authorize our government to accept the full jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice. Shortly after that (on December 17, 1945), Congressman Christian Herter (now our Secretary of State) submitted to the House a Joint Resolution, supporting and confirming the Morse Resolution.

In July 1946, Senator Tom Connally, then Chairman of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee, announced "public" hearings on that Resolution. But the announcement was so sotto voce that it never reached the attention of the American Legion, or VFW, or the DAR, or any other patriotic group — but it *did* reach the ears of the National League of Women Voters, of the American Association of University Women, of the NEA, of the Federal (now National) Council of Churches and various other notoriously Left-wing organizations, all of whom attended the hearings and joyously voiced approval of the *entire* Resolution.

So, several days later (July 24), the Senate Foreign Relations Committee reported the Resolution to the Senate, exactly as it had been submitted by Morse — with unanimous approval for its ratification.

To show what a complete surrender (and treason) Mister Morse contemplated with his Resolution, I quote from it — as follows:

"The Senate (hereby) consent to the deposit by the President of the United States with the Secretary General of the United Nations of a declaration recognizing as COMPULSORY the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in all legal disputes hereafter arising concerning . . . A: The Interpretation of a treaty; . . . B: Any questions of international law; . . . C: The existence of any fact which, if established, would constitute a breach of international obligation; . . . D: The nature or extent of the reparation to be made for the breach of an international obligation: PROVIDED, that such declaration shall not apply to — A: Disputes the solution of which the parties shall entrust to other tribunals by virtue of agreements

already in existence or which may be concluded in the future; . . . B: disputes with regard to matters which are essentially within the domestic jurisdiction of the United States . . .”

But who was to decide whether such disputes would be within the jurisdiction of the United States? *That* is the important question, as we shall see.

Several days later, on August 1, 1946, the Senate began deliberation of the Resolution. Everything moved along serenely until they came to the last quoted (B) provision, when Senator Connally interrupted to propose an addendum (the Connally Amendment) of just six little words to that provision — the six little words being: *“as determined by the United States.”*

Morse began to sputter protests, but stopped as Connally directed the attention of the Senate to a provision in Chapter XIV of the UN Charter which specifically stipulates that, if there is ever any dispute as to whether the International Court of Justice has jurisdiction, *in any matter*, the Court itself will decide the question — *and that there will be no appeal from their “Decisions” !!!*

He then stressed that under that provision the World Court could find ways to “decide” *all* of our internal affairs to have international implications. For one example, our immigration laws: should we decide to bar all Communist spies as “immigrants”, or eject those already ensconced in the UN and/or Communist Embassies, both Moscow and the UN could “appeal” to the World Court — the World Court would “decide” that “immigration” is definitely an international affair and place our immigration authorities (*and to that degree, our State Department*) under World Court jurisdiction. For another example, all matters of foreign trade and, consequently, our tariffs would most assuredly become “international” affairs, and thus come under World Court jurisdiction; ditto our Labor laws, which might otherwise conflict with the UN International Labor Organization; ditto our Educational system, which otherwise might conflict with UNESCO, etc., etc., etc. In short, it was clearly obvious that under that provision in Chapter XIV of the UN Charter every one of our domestic affairs would be vulnerable to World Court “decision” and jurisdiction.

Morse and some of his One-World-minded colleagues insisted there was no need for the addendum (Connally Amendment) — that the carefully chosen men on the World Court would be honorable gentlemen (*even the Communists*) who wouldn’t dream of committing such dishonorable acts — that the addendum would be a

gross insult to their integrity — *and to the integrity of the honorable UN itself.*

Well, just as a reminder, I might suggest that we've heard that same kind of "music" before — when Neville Chamberlain came back from Munich and proclaimed that his *signed agreement* with Hitler insured "*Peace in our time*" there were those who said that Hitler's signature wasn't worth the paper he signed — and the naive Chamberlain chided them for casting aspersion on the honor and integrity of that great and honorable Herr Hitler . . . when Roosevelt came back from his meetings with Stalin and proudly displayed his *signed agreements* with that brigand and murderer, there were those who reminded him that the Communists themselves have always boasted that they *sign* agreements only as a means to "suck" us into their traps — and our then God-man *castigated* them for casting aspersion on the honor and integrity of his dear old "Uncle Joe" . . . Likewise, Truman, on his return from Potsdam assured us that "good old Joe" was a very decent guy (*reminded him of Tom Pendergast*) with whom he (Truman) could "play ball" without a worry — and he reviled all those who refused to accept his appraisal of the Beast of Moscow — then, even as he was praising "good old Joe", we suddenly found ourselves sucked into the Berlin Airlift and the Korean War! Yet, despite all those "mistakes", those of us who are warning (*and proving our warnings with documentary evidence*) that Eisenhower is pied-piper-ing us down the same path into One-World enslavement we are called rabble-rousers, character assassins, warmongers — and, for good measure — anti-semites.

Anyway, the important point is that despite all protests, on August 2, 1946, the Senate attached the Connally Amendment to the Morse World Court Resolution by a vote of 52 to 12 — and thus reserved to the United States the right to determine what issues were within its own national jurisdiction . . . and in ages to come the historians will record that without those "six little words" in the Connally Amendment our Republic would have perished and our Land become an enslaved province in the Internationalist-Communist (UN) One-World Government! . . . *But now Eisenhower is determined that those "six little words" shall be repealed !!!*

And don't tell me that the God-man does not know the full import of those "*six little words*"! If he doesn't, he is a moron who never should have been allowed to enter the White House — *therefore, by either token, for the salvation of our nation, he should be driven out of the White House TODAY !!!*

TRUMAN TO THE RESCUE

The Masterminds were stunned. Without unlimited World Court jurisdiction their UN was a ship without a rudder. They had inserted that passage in the Preamble that insured us against intervention in our domestic affairs on the theory that we would not look beyond it. They kept flaunting that "insurance" to keep us blinded long enough for Wayne Morse to slip his crafty Resolution over on us. And with complete control of the Press and Radio, they had good reason to feel confident that their scheme would succeed. Thus, the Connally Amendment caught them completely unprepared. Moreover, the totally unexpected source of their disaster made the shock all the more stunning: Tom Connally had always been one of Roosevelt's most zealous "New Deal" pillars. But apparently Roosevelt's demise had released Connally from his New Deal bondage. Either that, or outright treason was more than his conscience could take.

The Masterminds appealed to Truman — and that little man promptly responded. Or, rather, he *tried* to respond. Fortified by copious drafts of his favorite bourbon, he sent word to Connally to withdraw his Amendment — or he himself would tear it to shreds. But Senator Pat McCarran promptly told Truman that if he tried it he (McCarran) would go to the people, via Press and Radio, and tear *him* (Truman) to shreds. That took all the bravado out of Truman — Pat McCarran was too tough a morsel for his digestion — and the little man from the land of Pendergast and Jesse James promptly went into a deep freeze . . . beg pardon, I meant to say deep silence.

THEY NEVER GIVE UP

In view of McCarran's threat, and the probability of similar reactions by other of the suddenly-alerted Senators, the Masterminds decided that discretion would be wiser than valor. They realized that further attempts to kill the Connally Amendment *could* bring the entire World Court chicanery out into the open — and unmask the whole UN plot. So they decided to wait until that entire flurry of resistance would be forgotten.

But while waiting they were not idle. In addition to setting up

their multiple UN-alphabetical Agencies to “condition” and brain-wash the people for the acceptance of their final coup when it would come, they began to develop new schemes to suck us into the One-World trap. The idea behind that technique was that if one of those schemes should pan out they wouldn’t have to wait for repeal of the Connally Amendment — the scheme would automatically abolish our Constitution and immobilize our Congress.

Thus, in February, 1947, just six months after the Connally Amendment killed the World Court scheme, they organized a more or less sub-rosa convention in Asheville, North Carolina, out of which emerged the notorious “United World Federalists” — and their plot to transform the United States into a unit of a One-World Government via “Resolutions” by 36 states demanding such transformation by an Act of Congress.

It was the most daring and, by the same token, the most brazen plot in the history of our nation!

(NOTE: *All readers of our (CEG) News-Bulletins are fully familiar with the background of this traitorous outfit and plot, so there is no need for repetition in this issue—Ed.*)

Simultaneously with the emergence of the UWF a rash of similar “One-World” organizations broke out all over the nation, best known of which was “Atlantic Union”, sponsored and masterminded by John Foster Dulles and Estes Kefauver. In addition, there were “Federal Union”, “Union Now”, etc., etc. But the “unity” of all was revealed when it was “discovered” that the Directorates of all, including the UWF, were interlocked.

In 1949, to pave the way for the UWF master-plan, *when ready for submission*, the One-Worlders had their stooges in Congress submit a rash of Resolutions in both Houses on behalf of “One-World Government”:

No. 1:—House Concurrent Resolution 64 “. . . to Seek Development of the UN into a World Federation”, introduced on June 7, 1949, by none other than Rep. Brooks Hays of Little Rock infamy. This Resolution corresponds with Senate Concurrent Resolution 56, introduced by Sen. Tobey July 26, 1949.

No. 2: Senate Concurrent Resolution 57, July 26, 1949, corresponds with House Concurrent Resolutions 107, 108, 109, 110, and 111, all supported by “Atlantic Union Committee”, and invited all “Democracies” which had sponsored No. Atlantic Pact to “name delegates to a Federal Convention for Federal Union within the UN.”

No. 3:—Senate Resolution 133, introduced on July 8, 1949, “. . . Objective — to seek revision of UN Charter — to abolish the Veto power, set up an international Police Force, supplement No. Atlantic Pact BY A WORLD PACT WITHIN THE UN”.

No. 4:—Senate Concurrent Resolution 66, introduced on September 13, 1949 by Sen. Glen Taylor — “For a World Government Constitution through the UN”.

Now, in all their operations, the UWF and all the other outfits of that ilk carefully avoided naming the UN as being party to their activities. Thus the UN could at all times righteously disclaim all responsibility for their acts (*as they did when the UWF plot was exposed*), but you will note that all of the above “Resolutions”, *all sponsored by “Atlantic Union”, “Federal Union”, etc., etc.*, call for implementation of “World Government” *through, or within the UN!*

EISENHOWER PROCLAIMS “LAW DAY”

California’s rescission of the UWF Resolution was a terrible shock to the Masterminds. It put the brand of treason on all the “One-World” outfits — and sent them scurrying into hibernation. So that technique was dead. Almost simultaneously, their “GENOCIDE TREATY” was unmasked and torpedoed. That left the Masterminds with only the “World Court” scheme to entice us into their “One-World Government” trap . . . *but only if they can find a way to kill the “Connally Amendment”!*

During the following several years they tried many approaches. But until 1952 they were completely stymied. Truman refused to touch it. Their stooge Senators didn’t *dare* to touch it. Eisenhower was their great hope. But as long as there was a McCarran, a Joe McCarthy, or a Jenner in the Senate, even Ike was afraid to touch it. But in 1958 the Masterminds developed a new — and truly *a very subtle* — approach, to-wit:

Late in April 1958, with a great show of piety, Mister Eisenhower pronounced that henceforth May Day (May 1) would be “LAW DAY, U.S.A.”. For years, proclaimed the one-time “Military Genius”, Moscow had usurped May Day and made it their great Communist Celebration Day. Well, *now*, he blandly stated, we would snatch it away from them — and transform it into a Day sacred to Law and Order and Justice!

The whole nation was thrilled! And why not? The Press, Radio and TV fell all over themselves in their rush to acclaim the “great” Ike’s new and most brilliant idea — “LAW DAY”, they said, would bring World PEACE through World Law.

During the next few months Ike’s “great idea” got plenty of support. Charles Rhyne, then President of the American Bar Association, dashed around all over the nation delivering speeches exhorting the people to get behind “*World Peace through World Law*”. Ditto Wayne Morse, Jake Javits, Hubert Humphrey, John Foster Dulles, etc., etc. And the people jumped like trout for the PEACE bait.

But on September 2, 1958, the head of the cat came out of the bag!!! On that day Attorney General Rogers delivered a speech at the New York University Law Center on “*International Order Under Law*”. He concentrated on the reason for the refusal of the various nations of the world to use the International Court of Justice to settle their disputes. He threw all the blame on the “Connally Amendment” — *because it reserves for the United States the right to decide which cases come under the World Court jurisdiction*. That has caused other nations to flout the jurisdiction of the World Court, charged Mister Rogers — and then hastened to explain: “*not because they endorse the principle of the ‘Connally Amendment’, or because they lack faith in the integrity of the World Court*”, but because the rest of the world could not trust the United States to decide fairly what is, or is not, within its domestic jurisdiction — *our domestic affairs, mind you!* He then went on to assure us that we, the United States, could trust the World Court (*composed of fourteen foreign, including six Communists, judges to one American*) not to infringe on our domestic affairs.

“*The record of the International Court of Justice clearly evidences*”, he triumphantly stressed, “*that this Court of distinguished jurists has never engaged or attempted to engage in usurpation of jurisdiction which does not belong to it. Nor is there any reason to believe that it ever would.*”

And then this man, who fancies himself as a great exponent of Law, amazingly (*and unconsciously, no doubt*) put his foot in his mouth and completely contradicted his assurance that the “record” of the Court is evidence of its integrity, by plaintively complaining that the Court has heard virtually no cases in all the years since it was set up by the UN — “*because of the Connally Amendment*” — because the other nations, “*taking their cues from the Amendment, won’t submit cases to the World Court*”.

"It is time", he thundered, "to re-examine that domestic jurisdiction reservation!" . . . In other words, to repeal the Connally Amendment — and leave the fate of our nation in the hands of fourteen foreign (and Communist) Judges!

When one of the students innocently asked him why the Russians refuse to accept the jurisdiction of the World Court, Mister Rogers glibly — and flippantly — replied: *"Oh, they will — we'll SHAME them into it."*

Now, bear in mind, this man is a lawyer — *the Attorney General of the United States, no less !!!* He knows the consequences of what he proposes. I will leave it to the reader to decide whether he is an *American*, serving the American people — or a traitor, serving the Masterminds of the Internationalist-Communist Conspiracy to destroy the United States . . . many, I am sure, will say: *hang him for deliberate treason!*

With the Rogers speech paving the way for him, Eisenhower trumpeted the signal for action to repeal Connally's *"six little words"* in his State of the Union Message of January 9, 1959 — as follows:

"It is my purpose to intensify efforts during the coming two years in seeking ways to supplement the procedures of the "United Nations" and other bodies with similar objectives, to the end that the rule of law may replace the rule of force in the affairs of nations. Measures toward this will be proposed later, including a re-examination of our own relation to the International Court of Justice."

How much does *that* leave to imagination? — even of the most gullible of Eisenhower's worshippers?

On March 24, 1959, Senator Hubert Humphrey took his cue from that statement and introduced a Resolution to repeal the Connally Amendment . . . Jake Javits promptly followed!

On April 13, 1959, Richard Nixon endorsed Ike's appeal for *"rule of law to replace rule of force"* in an address before the Academy of Political Science, in New York. Dicky painted a mental picture for his audience, in which he depicted all the horrors of nuclear war — and then, with eyes uplifted to Heaven and tremolo in his voice, he described the wonders and the serenity of the World PEACE that would come through world law — and then he charged the *"Connally Amendment"* with being the vicious obstacle to that idealistic goal.

Nixon, too, is a lawyer — he, too, knows what repeal of the Connally Amendment will do to our nation! . . . but if he opposes the

repeal he knows he won't get the nomination — *the nomination is his thirty pieces of silver!*

But if there was any ambiguity in the above Eisenhower statement, our same Mister Rogers, in his official capacity as Attorney General of the United States, completely cleared-it away in his address at the annual (1959) meeting of the American Bar Association in Miami, Florida. He quoted from the Eisenhower statement—and clarified it by exhorting the ABA, as a body, and all of its individual members to exert all possible pressures to *force* Congress to repeal the Connally Amendment.

Thus the mask was off! . . . The “crusade” to deliver full jurisdiction over the United States to the UN and its “World Court” was launched !!!



That is by no means the whole story, but lack of space forces me to hold the rest of it for our next issue.

In that next issue I will review the infamous and vile “GENOCIDE PACT” — and how the UN tried to seduce our Senate to ratify it as a “Treaty” and, thus, into a Law of the Land.

In that review I will reveal how the “honorable” International Court of Justice conspired with the UN *and Moscow* to “shame” our Senate into ratifying the “GENOCIDE TREATY”.

In our next issue I shall also review the backgrounds of “The League of Women Voters” and similar organizations — and show how they complement the efforts of the Internationalist-Communist Conspiracy to destroy the United States.

And more important, in our next issue I will submit the one sure-fire procedure for YOU — and YOU — and YOU to root all treason out of Washington . . . *and smash the Great Conspiracy!*

CPA BOOK PUBLISHER

P. O. Box 596
Boring, OR 97009

Email: cpabooks@hotmail.com

